

LICENSE AND VARIANCE BOARD

Minutes - Final



A Max Bacon City Hall
2800 King Street SE
Smyrna, GA 30080

Penny Mocer, Chairperson
Richard Garland, Board Member
Bo Jones, Board Member
Brian Marcos, Board Member
Mary Moore, Board Member
Jill G. Head, Secretary

City Attorney Scott Cochran
City Administrator Joseph Bennett
City Clerk Heather K. Peacon-Corn

January 11, 2023
10:00 AM

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NOTICING STANDARDS AS OUTLINED IN O.C.G.A. 50-14-3:

**The City of Smyrna website – www.smyrnaga.gov
City Hall, 2800 King Street SE, Notice Boards**

Roll Call

Board Members: 3 – Penny Mocer, Richard Garland, and Bo Jones
Staff: 7 – Jill Head, Dat Luu, Russell Martin, Kelly Moon, Heather Peacon-Corn, Joey Staubes, and Starla Whiddon

Call to Order

Chairperson Penny Mocer called to order the January 11, 2023 License and Variance Board Meeting held at A. Max Bacon City Hall in the Administration Conference Room at 10:00 AM.

1. Chairperson Instruction and Comment

2. Formal Business

- A. **V22-064** Public Hearing - Variance Request - V22-064 - Increase the maximum impervious surface area from 35% to 39.78% - Lot 556 - 3341 Pretty Branch Drive - Luke Burbank – *This item will be tabled to the January 25, 2023 License and Variance Board meeting.*
Ward 6 / Mayor Pro Tem/Councilmember Gould

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to table item V22-064, a public hearing and variance request (V22-064) to increase the maximum impervious surface area from 35% to 39.78% on lot 556 located at 3341 Pretty Branch Drive by applicant Luke Burbank to the January 25, 2023 License and Variance Board meeting; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to table to the January 25, 2023 License and Variance Board meeting carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

- B. V22-069** Public Hearing - Variance Request - V22-069 - Allow an increase in impervious coverage from 35% to 51.6% - Land Lot 446 - 0.09 acres - 2306 Kissing Tree Lane - Alicia Encalade – *This item will be tabled to the January 25, 2023 License and Variance Board meeting.*
Ward 3 / Councilmember Lindley

Boardmember Bo Jones made a motion to table to the January 25, 2023 License and Variance Board meeting item V22-069, a public hearing and variance request (V22-069) to allow an increase in impervious surface from 35% to 51.6% on land lot 446 on 0.09 acres located at 2309 Kissing Tree Lane by applicant Alicia Encalade; seconded by Boardmember Richard Garland.

The motion to table to the January 25, 2023 License and Variance Board meeting carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

- C. LIC2023-001** Privilege License Agent Change Request - Beer & Wine (retail package) - 1000 S Pioneer Drive SE - GB License, LLC dba goPuff, with Darren Gooden as agent.
Ward 7 / Councilmember Wheaton

Application was made for privilege license agent change for GB License, LLC dba goPuff for the sale of beer & wine (retail package). Darren Gooden is the agent applicant.

Darren Gooden will be the registered agent responsible for the sale of alcohol at 1000 S Pioneer Drive SE. The applicant has been given a copy of the

Alcoholic Beverage ordinances and attended the mandatory alcohol awareness workshop.

A background investigation conducted by the Smyrna Police Department failed to reveal information that would preclude issuance of this license. Mr. Gooden has agreed to abide by the guidelines set forth by the City of Smyrna ordinance governing the sale of alcohol.

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to approve item LIC2023-001, a privilege license agent change request for beer and wine (retail package) at 1000 S Pioneer Drive SE by applicant GB License, LLC dba goPuff with Darren Gooden as agent; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

D. V22-073 Public Hearing - Variance Request - V22-073 - Allow 5-foot split rail fence in front yard - Land Lot 383 - 1.81 acres - 703 Austin Drive - Zane Miller Ward 4 / Councilmember Welch

Joey Staubes, Planner II, provided the following background:

The subject parcel is a 1.81-acre lot located at the north east corner of Austin Drive (see Figure 1). The subject parcel is zoned R-15. The adjacent properties to the south are zoned R-15, the properties to the north and west are zoned R-12, and the property to the east is zoned RDA. All are occupied by residential structures.

The applicant is proposing a 5-foot split rail fence with woven wire backing in the front yard of the property. Section 503-A restricts the height of fences within a front yard to four feet; strict application of the ordinance would require a fence greater than four feet in height be setback 40 feet from the front property line. The applicant is requesting a variance to allow a 5-foot split rail fence to provide security and to match the existing fence around the perimeter of the property.

The applicant is requesting a variance to allow a 5-foot split rail fence to be erected in the front yard to provide safety and security for the property owner. Community Development believes the variance requested is the minimum variance needed and that, at times, a four-foot fence may not provide adequate privacy on a corner lot.

The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development standards established by Section 503-A, which requires a four-foot fence in the front yard. According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be

reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with four (4) of the four (4) standards. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes that the encroachment will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested variance with the following conditions:

1. Approval of the requested variance shall be conditioned upon the development of the property in substantial compliance with the site plan submitted with the variance application.
2. The fence area within the front yard setback shall have the woven wire behind the split rails.

Zane Miller – 703 Austin Dr. – applicant, read the following statement:

Good morning,

My appreciation to the board for allowing me to speak regarding Variance [V22-073] the request of acceptance of a 5-foot tall split rail fence located in the front yard of my home at 703 Austin Drive.

During the December 2022 Variance meeting, we received opposition to this variance request. I would like to note that the opposition was not related to the purpose of the variance, allowing a fence height in the front yard boundaries greater than 4 feet. The opposition was entirely focused on the style and the aesthetics of the fence, grossly mischaracterizing the style and describing it as a "pig pen," "livestock pen," and referenced "critter wire."

I would like to address the key points of opposition, but also respect the time of the board. So, I will do my best to provide quick responses.

- The style of fence constructed at my home is specifically included and detailed within the Section 503-A.3, "split rail," "wooden materials," and "any wire back on a split rail fence in the front yard must have black vinyl coating."
- The style and construction of our fence is specifically allowed.
- This style and construction of fence is used at:
 - 860 Austin Drive, next to Councilman Welch's home.
 - 535 Concord Lane.
 - 4165 King Springs Rd, is the same style fence, and same height.
 - Along the public right away for the Park Plan neighborhood on N. Cooper Lake Road. Where homes are estimated at \$600K to more than \$1M.
 - Along the public right away for the Cooper Lake Place neighborhood on N. Cooper Lake Road. Where homes are estimated at \$600K to more than \$800K.

- Along the public right away for the Westbrook Park neighborhood on N. Cooper Lake Road. Where homes are estimated at \$600K to more than \$800K.
- Along the public right away for the Tackett Farms neighborhood on Concord Road. Which is within the eye-sight of Mayor Norton's home. Where homes are estimated at \$600K to more than \$900K.
- The black vinyl-coated wire that is visible from the "outside" of our fence was scheduled to be covered per the stipulations of the recommended for approval variance the weekend after the December variance meeting. Due to the tabled variance, it was not completed in its entirety per the stipulation. However, it was completed along the front portion of fence to show my readiness to complete the task once the variance is approved.
- As mentioned in the December Variance meeting. It is our intent to paint the fence black to match our existing fencing. As a result, the wire mesh will become less visible.
- My neighbors passionately pleaded in the last meeting that I errantly continued the construction of my fence after I was visited by my councilman Mr. Welch.
- Councilman Welch did visit my home at 6:15 PM on November 1, 2022, and the fence was nearly 100% complete at that time, they were cleaning up.
- His visit and our discussion are recorded on my Nest doorbell camera.
- Yes, during Councilman Welch's visit he shared with me, "I'm pretty sure you can't build a fence like that." However, when I asked him why, he told me he did not know why or for what reason. Only that he had received a complaint from a neighbor.
- I explained to him that I tried to check county and city guidelines through the fence company, who told me everything was OK. But, in hindsight, they were wrong.
- I gave him my phone number and email and told him and said anyone at the city could reach me at any time to explain.
- We parted ways with him saying I "would hear from code enforcement." I acknowledged that would be OK, and one week later, I did receive a visit from a code enforcement marshal on November 7, 2022.
- On that same day, I spoke to Mr. Martin, the Director of Community Development, and begin the variance process on November 7, 2022.
- My neighbors noted the reference to our concerns of the safety of our kids and pets because of the coyotes around our home as a deciding factor for a fence in our front yard.
- They omitted the details that we have had coyotes on our property within the footprint of this new fencing, including a coyote crossing between my wife and the house as she was returning from the mailbox. And days before my notification letter was sent, a coyote walked boldly across the end of our driveway while my two sons and I were playing in the front yard.
- I'd like to note that in the week preceding the December meeting, coyote attacks were in two national stories, one where a coyote grabbed a child from a front yard and a story from Georgia where a dog fought off eight (8) coyotes.
- In the same week as the December meeting WSBTV featured a segment titled *Cobb residents complain of coyote attacks*. This can be found online.
- I will add that the neighbors that Mr. McNabb referenced in the December meeting shared with me that he explained to Mr. McNabb he, too, is

concerned for the coyotes and that it was a driving factor that he installed the fence that Mr. McNabb complimented.

- During the variance meeting, my neighbors made the plea that my family should not be concerned about coyotes because Mr. McNabb has lived there for more than 30 years, and his kids and pets have not been harmed.
- Lastly, I would like to point out that per the requirements of the variance process, I was required to notify the nine (9) contiguous occupants or landowners of my home.
- I'd like the record to show that during the December Variance meeting, none of these neighbors were compelled to attend the meeting to present any opposition to my variance request, including Councilman Welch, who also lives on Austin Drive.

To close, my style of fence is allowed per the city codes and is installed throughout the city along public right-of-ways. The only concern is the height of my fence for which I applied for a variance. This variance was recommended for approval last month by staff. I thank you for your time and the consideration of my variance request.

She asked Boardmember Bo Jones to explain an added condition. Mr. Jones explained to Mr. Miller that a stipulation will be added to this variance request because there is a manhole cover in his driveway with a sewer line that goes back to his garage area. The City would need access to that at any time. Mr. Miller agreed, and Mr. Jones stated that Community Development would contact him with the appropriate information.

A public hearing was called.

Mike McNabb – 750 Austin Dr. – stated that he would like the Board to deny the variance request and adhere to the ordinance that states a front yard fence cannot be taller than 48”.

Chairperson Penny Mocerri explained that the purpose of the License and Variance Board is limited in scope. The Board has a specific set of objectives to assess the recommendation from staff and determine if precedence has been set. If this fence had been built to 4 feet, there would have been no need for a variance request. Russell Martin, Community Development Director, explained that if the house is set beyond 40 feet from the front setback, they would have been allowed to place an 8 foot fence. Mr. Miller's house is set back beyond the 40 foot threshold, so there is only a small portion of the fence that is subject to the 4 foot height maximum for which he is seeking a variance.

Boardmember Bo Jones made a motion to approve item V22-073, a public hearing and variance request (V22-073) to allow 5-foot split rail fence in front yard on land lot 383 on 1.81 acres located at 703 Austin Drive by applicant Zane Miller; seconded by Boardmember Richard Garland.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

- E. **V22-074** Public Hearing - Variance Request - V22-074 - Allow boarding facility within 200 feet of residential property - Land Lot 632 - 0.41 acres - 1717 Spring Street - Max Feidelson
Ward 3 / Councilmember Lindley

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to withdraw without prejudice item V22-074, a public hearing and variance request (V22-074) to allow a boarding facility within 200 feet of residential property on land lot 632 on 0.41 acres located at 1717 Spring Street by applicant Max Feidelson; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to withdraw without prejudice carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

- F. **V23-001** Public Hearing - Variance Request - V23-001 - Allow accessory structure height increase from 15 feet to 25 feet - Land Lot 559 - 0.39 acres - 2889 Alexander Street - Robert Harrison
Ward 3 / Councilmember Lindley

Joey Staubes, Planner II, provided the following background:

The subject parcel is a 0.39-acre lot located on the east side of Alexander Street (see Figure 1). The subject parcel and adjacent parcels to the north and east are zoned R-15 and are occupied by a single-family residence and church respectively. The adjacent parcel to the west is zoned R-8 and is undeveloped. The adjacent parcel to the south is zoned LI (Light Industrial) and is occupied by a commercial business.

The applicant is proposing to build a 16' by 20' detached garage with a building height of 25 feet on the rear of the property. The detached structure consists of a 1-car garage on the first floor and storage space on the second floor. The garage is designed to match the architecture of the existing brick home on the property. Due to the size and composition of the accessory structure, the increase in height will allow it to blend in more seamlessly with the existing building and decrease any visual deterrents.

The subject property has a stream buffer on the southeastern corner. The proposed building will need to encroach 64 sq. ft. of the impervious surface

setback. The applicant will need to revise the existing plat to amend the buffer averaging that was previously approved for the property.

Community Development believes the variances proposed are the minimum variances needed and should have no negative impact on adjacent properties. Community Development has not received any calls in opposition to the request.

The applicant is requesting to deviate from the development standards established by the City for the maximum accessory structure height of 15 feet. According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with four (4) of the four (4) standards. After a review of the standards above, Community Development believes that the variances will not adversely affect surrounding residents; therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested variances with the following conditions:

1. Approval is conditioned upon substantial compliance with the site plan and elevations submitted with the variance application.
2. The applicant can revise the buffer-averaging to accommodate encroachment into the 75' impervious surface setback however, the plat will need to be revised and recorded with Cobb County Superior Court before the building permit can be approved and released.
3. The applicant is required to submit a site plan developed by a registered design professional prior to the issuance of a building permit.

Robert Harrison – 2889 Alexander St. – applicant, stated that the initial application was 20', but it has been revised to 24', and he will submit that to Community Development. He stated he understand and agrees with the three conditions read into record.

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to approve item V23-001, a public hearing and variance request (V23-001) to allow an accessory structure height increase from 15 feet to 25 feet on land lot 559 on 0.39 acres located at 2889 Alexander Street by applicant Robert Harrison; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye:	3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay:	0 – None
Recuse:	0 – None

**G. V23-002 Public Hearing - Variance Request - V23-002 - Allow new construction on a lot of record below minimum requirements - Land Lot 485 - 0.31 acres - 3279 Concord Circle - Hal Simpson
*Ward 3 / Councilmember Lindley***

Joey Staubes, Planner II, provided the following background:

The subject parcel is a 0.31-acre lot located on the south side of Concord Circle (see Figure 1). The subject parcel and adjacent parcels are zoned R-15 and are occupied by detached singlefamily homes. The subject parcel is in the Smyrna Heights subdivision, which was platted in 1951, prior to the current zoning ordinance, which was implemented in 1976.

The subject property is 13,407 square feet whereas the R-15 Zoning District requires lots to be a minimum of 15,000 square feet in size. Since the subject property is an existing lot of record, originally surveyed in 1951, the hardship is not self-created. The proposed home will meet all of the other R-15 zoning requirements and thus no additional variances are required to build the new home.

The subject property is unique in that it has less square footage than what the R-15 zoning district requires. The hardship is not self created as the property is an existing lot of record. The variance requested is the minimum variance needed to build a new single-family home on the subject property. Community Development does not believe building a new single-family home on the subject property in accordance with the R-15 zoning requirements will negatively impact the surrounding properties. Community Development has not received any calls in opposition to the request.

The applicant is requesting to deviate from the City's minimum lot area requirement established for the R-15 Zoning District. According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with the four (4) standards and believes that the variance will not adversely affect surrounding residents. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested variance with the following condition:

1. Approval of the requested variance shall be conditioned upon the development of the property in substantial compliance with the site plan and elevations submitted with the variance application.

Hal Simpson – 220 Oak Forest Drive – applicant, had no further information to add. He stated he understands and agrees with the standard condition read into record.

A public hearing was called, and no one came forward in favor of or in opposition to this item.

Boardmember Bo Jones made a motion to approve item V23-002, a public hearing and variance request (V23-002) to allow new construction on a lot of record below minimum requirements on land lot 485 on 0.31 acres located at 3279 Concord Circle by applicant Hal Simpson; seconded by Boardmember Richard Garland.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

H. V23-003 Public Hearing - Variance Request - V23-003 - Allow rear setback reduction from 30 feet to 19 feet - Land Lot 849 - 0.99 acres - 2535 Cobb Pkwy - Scott LeFevre
Ward 1 / Councilmember Pickens

Joey Staubes, Planner II, provided the following background:

The subject parcel is a 0.99-acre lot located on the north side of Cobb Parkway (see Figure 1). The subject parcel and all adjacent parcels to the north and east are zoned GC (General Commercial) and are occupied commercial businesses. The property to the west is zoned RM12 and is occupied with apartments. The property to the south is zoned RM-12 and is occupied with commercial businesses.

The convenience store and fuel station on the subject property was constructed in 2001. The existing building was approved for to reduce the rear setback from 30 feet to 5 feet. The applicant is proposing to build additions to the existing building totaling 927 sq. ft., as well as a 216 sq. ft. addition for storage connected to the dumpster enclosure. All new structures are at least 19 feet from the rear property line and encroach no closer than the approved 5-foot rear setback.

The hardship is not self-created as the property was already approved for a rear setback reduction. The proposed variance will not reduce the already approved rear setback of 5 feet. The variance requested is the minimum variance needed to construct the addition to the existing commercial business. Community Development does not believe building the addition on the subject property will negatively impact the surrounding properties. At the

time of this report, Community Development has received no opposition to this request from surrounding property owners.

The applicant is requesting to deviate from the rear setback requirement established by Section 802. According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with the four (4) standards and believes that the variance will not adversely affect surrounding residents. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested variance with the following condition:

1. Approval of the requested variance shall be conditioned upon the development of the property in substantial compliance with the site plan and elevations submitted with the variance application.
2. The existing stormwater management facility will need to be inspected and any repairs or maintenance performed in conjunction with the building permit. The applicant will need to provide an inspection and maintenance agreement if one is not already in place

Scott LeFevre, applicant, had no further information to add. He stated he understands and agrees with the conditions read into record.

A public hearing was called, and no one came forward in favor of or in opposition to this item.

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to approve item V23-003, a public hearing and variance request (V22-003) to allow rear setback reduction from 30 feet to 19 feet on land lot 849 on 0.99 acres located at 2535 Cobb Pkwy by applicant Scott LeFevre; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Moceris, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay: 0 – None
Recuse: 0 – None

- I. **V23-004** Public Hearing - Variance Request - Allow rear setback reduction from 30 feet to 25 feet - Land Lot 191 - 0.16 acres - 2105 Adderbury Lane - Scott Stewart
Ward 4 / Councilmember Welch

Joey Staubes, Planner II, provided the following background:

The subject parcel is a 0.16-acre lot located on the west side of Adderbury Lane. The subject parcel and all adjacent parcels east are zoned RDA and are occupied by detached single-family homes.

The home on the subject property was constructed in 199 on the 6,888 square foot lot. The applicant is proposing to cover the existing patio at the rear of the home. Due to the location of the patio, a variance to reduce the rear setback is required.

The variance requested is the minimum variance needed to cover the exiting porch. Community Development does not believe building the addition on the subject property will negatively impact the surrounding properties as that area is already impervious. At the time of this report, Community Development has received no opposition to this request from surrounding property owners.

The applicant is requesting to deviate from the rear setback requirement established by Section 801. According to Section 1403 of the Zoning Ordinance, variances must be reviewed under the following standards: (1) Whether there are unique and special or extraordinary circumstances applying to the property; (2) Whether any alleged hardship is self-created by any person having an interest in the property; (3) Whether strict application of the relevant provisions of the code would deprive the applicant of reasonable use of the property; and (4) Whether the variance proposed is the minimum variance needed. Community Development has reviewed the request against the variance review standards and found it to be in compliance with the four (4) standards and believes that the variance will not adversely affect surrounding residents. Therefore, staff recommends approval of the requested variance with the following condition:

1. Approval of the requested variance shall be conditioned upon the development of the property in substantial compliance with the site plan and elevations submitted with the variance application.

Scott Stewart, applicant, had no further information to provide. He stated he understands and is in agreement with the standard condition read into record

A public hearing was called, and no one came forward in favor of or in opposition to this item.

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to approve item V23-004, a public hearing and variance request to allow rear setback from 30 feet to 25 feet on land lot 191 on 0.16 acres located at 2105 Adderbury Lane by applicant Scott Stewart; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye:	3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland
Nay:	0 – None

Recuse: 0 – None

3. Approval of Minutes

MIN2023-001 Approval of the December 14, 2022 License and Variance Board Meeting Minutes.

Citywide

Boardmember Richard Garland made a motion to approve item MIN2023-001, the December 14, 2022 License and Variance Board meeting minutes; seconded by Boardmember Bo Jones.

The motion to approve carried with the following vote:

Aye: 3 – Chairperson Mocerri, Boardmember Jones, Boardmember Garland

Nay: 0 – None

Recuse: 0 – None

4. Other Business / Staff Comment

City Clerk Heather Peacon-Corn mentioned that the Board needed to add two new members as alternates. The Board confirmed they would be adding Economic Development Director Andrea Worthy and Deputy Fire Chief Eric Mohrmann as alternates.

5. Adjournment

Chairperson Penny Mocerri adjourned the January 11, 2023 License and Variance Board Meeting at 10:39 PM.